UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,201	09/20/2006	Anthony William Miles	107687.00013	7816
25555 JACKSON WA	7590 07/19/201 LKER LLP	1	EXAM	IINER
901 MAIN STR			VO, HAI	
SUITE 6000 DALLAS, TX 7	75202-3797		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1788	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,201	MILES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HAI VO	1788	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periorally reply repriorable to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become a	ICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. rance except for formal ma	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14,16-18,52 and 57-60 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14,16-18,52 and 57-60 is/are rejeection conditions. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and conditions. Application Papers	awn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a specific and any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_ Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/568,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 1788

## Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on July 12, 2011 is improper because an attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a

Application/Control Number: 10/568,201

Art Unit: 1788

nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Page 3

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-14, 16-18, 52 and 57-60 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 26-49 of copending Application No. 10/543,247. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the '247 application fully encompass the claimed subject matter. The bone substitute material has a compressive strength from 20 to 80 MPa. The bone substitute material has the cells with a structure set out in the claim because the bone substitute material was produced by the same approach disclosed in the specification of the present invention. Further, note that the foam is compressed in a region to provide a variation in the cell size. The foam with elongated foam cells would be inherently present during the step of compression.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,201 Page 4

Art Unit: 1788

4. The double patenting rejection will not be withdrawn until the resubmission of the terminal disclaimer.

## **Contact Information**

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HAI VO whose telephone number is (571)272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alicia Chevalier can be reached on (571) 272-1490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,201 Page 5

Art Unit: 1788

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hai Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1788